arXiv:1603.01425v1 [math.AT] 4 Mar 2016

Representations of virtual braids by automorphisms and virtual knot groups

V. G. Bardakov, Yu. A. Mikhalchishina, M. V. Neshchadim

March 7, 2016

Abstract

In the present paper the representation of the virtual braid group VB_n into the automorphism group of free product of the free group and free abelian group is constructed. This representation generalizes the previously constructed ones. The fact that these already known representations are not faithful for $n \geq 4$ is verified. Using representations of VB_n, the virtual link group is defined. Also representations of welded braid group WB_n are constructed and the welded link group is defined.

Introduction

The Artin representation [5, Corollary 1.8.3] of the braid group by automorphisms of the free group allows to solve the word problem in the braid group, to construct the linear representations of the braid group (the Burau representation) as well as the linear representation of pure braid group (the Gassner representation) etc. The virtual braid group (see [23], [11]) is one of generalizations of the classical braid group. A natural question about the representation of the virtual braid group by automorphisms of some group arises.

For each virtual braid the virtual link could be constructed. Contrary to the classical link case there is no natural definition of a virtual link group. The following question arises: to define the virtual link group.

There exist several approaches to determine the group of virtual knots and links. The first definition was given by L. Kauffman [11] in 1996. Next there was the definition by V. Manturov [16] (see also [4], where the same groups were defined using the virtual braid group representation by automorphisms of a free group). Further there was the definition by D. Silver and S. Williams [21]-[22] and lately another version by H. Boden, E. Dies, A. Gaudreau, A. Gerlings, E. Harper, A. Nicas [6].

The fact that all representations known before are not faithful for $n \geq 4$ will be shown in the present work. A new representation which generalizes all previous ones will be suggested.

Moreover the fact that the new representation is equivalent to the extension of the Artin representation of the braid group will be shown. Using the new representation the virtual link group is defined and the fact that it is the virtual link invariant is proved. There will be shown the fact that for the classical link the constructed group is isomorphic to the free product of the fundamental group of the link complement in the tree dimensional sphere and some free abelian group.

The principally new way to the definition of the virtual link group was suggested in the paper of J. Carter, D. Silver and S. Williams [7] where the virtual link group was defined as the fundamental group of the complement of some classical link in a thickened surface. In the present work there will be shown that the group of virtual trefoil defined in [7] is not isomorphic to the group of virtual trefoil defined using the representation of virtual braid group .

Authors are grateful to Oleg Chterental who pointed out an element in the kernel of the Artin representation of the virtual braid group (see [8]).

§ 1. The braid group, the virtual braid group and the welded braid group

In the paper the following notations are used. If a and b are elements of some group G, then $a^b = b^{-1}ab$ is conjugacy of a by b; $[a, b] = a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$ is the commutator of elements a and b.

The braid group. Recall that the braid group B_n on n strands, where $n \geq 2$, is defined by generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}$ and defining relations

$$\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, \tag{1}$$

$$\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i \qquad \text{for } |i-j| \geq 2. \tag{2}$$

There exists a homomorphism of the group B_n on the permutation group S_n that maps the generator σ_i into the transposition $(i, i+1)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. The kernel of this homomorphism is *the pure braid group* and is denoted by the symbol P_n. The group P_n is generated by elements $a_{ij}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, which can be expressed through the generators of B_n in the following way

$$a_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2,$$

$$a_{ij} = \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\ldots\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i^2\sigma_{i+1}^{-1}\ldots\sigma_j^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1}.$$

The group P_n composes in the semi direct product of free groups

$$P_n = U_n \leftthreetimes (U_{n-1} \leftthreetimes (\ldots \leftthreetimes (U_3 \leftthreetimes U_2))\ldots),$$

where $U_i = \langle a_{1i}, a_{2i}, \ldots, a_{i-1,i}\rangle \simeq F_{i-1}$ is the free group of the rank $n-1$, $i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$ (see [5, Section 1.4], [17]).

The virtual braid group and the welded braid group. *The virtual braid group* VB_n is presented in the paper [11], where a system of its generators and defining relations is written. In

the paper [23] the more compact system of defining relations is constructed (see relations below). The virtual braid group VB_n is generated by the classical braid group $B_n = \langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1} \rangle$ and the permutation group $S_n = \langle \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_{n-1} \rangle$. Generators $\sigma_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ satisfy the relations (1), (2) and generators $\rho_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, satisfy the following relations (relations in the permutation group S_n):

$$\rho_i^2 = 1 \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1, \tag{3}$$

$$\rho_i \rho_j = \rho_j \rho_i \qquad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{4}$$

$$\rho_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i = \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2 \ldots, n-2. \tag{5}$$

Other defining relations of the group VB_n are mixed and they are as follows

$$\sigma_i \rho_j = \rho_j \sigma_i \qquad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{6}$$

$$\rho_i \rho_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \rho_i \rho_{i+1} \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2. \tag{7}$$

Note that the last relation is equivalent to:

$$\rho_{i+1} \rho_i \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i \rho_{i+1} \rho_i \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2. \tag{8}$$

As it was pointed out in the paper [11], in the group VB_n the following relations do not hold

$$\mathcal{F}_1 : \quad \rho_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \rho_{i+1}, \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \quad \rho_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \rho_i, \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2,$$

which look like relations (8). These relations are referred to as forbidden relations.

In the work [9] the welded braid group denoted by WB_n is presented. This group is generated by elements σ_i and α_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. The group generated by elements σ_i is the classical braid group B_n, the group generated by elements α_i is the permutation group S_n also the mixed relations hold

$$\alpha_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \alpha_i \qquad \text{for} \quad |i-j| \geq 2, \tag{9}$$

$$\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \alpha_i \alpha_{i+1} \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, \tag{10}$$

$$\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2. \tag{11}$$

Comparing the relations of groups VB_n and WB_n it is clear that WB_n is obtained from VB_n by adding the additional relation (11) which coincide with $\mathcal{F}_2$. Therefore, there exists the homomorphism

$$\varphi_{VW} : VB_n \to WB_n,$$

putting σ_i into σ_i and ρ_i into α_i for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. Hence WB_n is the homomorphic image of the group VB_n.

Note that WB_n is isomorphic to the group of conjugating automorphisms C_n, which is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ and is studied in [1, 2, 19, 20].

§ 2. Representations of virtual braids by automorphisms

The present section we begin by listing some known representations of the virtual braid group VB_n by automorphisms of the free group. Next we define the representation that generalizes all previous ones and in the conclusion we show that all previously written representations are not faithful for $n \geq 4$.

The extension of the Artin representation. The representation $VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ (see [3], [16]) into the automorphism group of the free group $F_{n+1} = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, y\rangle$ of the rank $n+1$:

$$\varphi_A(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_A(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{y^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{y}, \end{cases}$$

(here and hereafter we write only non-trivial actions on the generators, assume that all other generators are fixed) is an extension of the Artin representation $\varphi_A : B_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_n)$ (see [5]). Hence we will hereafter denote it with the same symbol φ_A.

The Silver-Williams representation. For the pair of natural numbers n and k we define the group $F_{n,k+1} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ as the free product of the free group of the rank n and the free abelian group of the rank $k+1$. We assume that F_n is freely generated by elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{k+1}$ is freely generated by elements $v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k$. Note that $F_{n,1} = F_{n+1}$.

Using the definition of the generalized Alexander group for virtual links given by D. Silver and S. Williams [21], we construct the representation $\varphi_{SW} : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,n+1})$ of the virtual braid group VB_n into the automorphism group of the group $F_{n,n+1}$ defined by the action on the generators

$$\varphi_{SW}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-v u_{i+1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{v}, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_{SW}(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_{SW}(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_{SW}(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that the following automorphism corresponds to the element σ_i^{-1}

$$\varphi_{SW}(\sigma_i^{-1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{v^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto \left(x_{i+1}^{-v^{-1}} x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} \right)^{u_{i+1}^{-1}}, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_{SW}(\sigma_i^{-1}) : \begin{cases} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove that this representation generalizes the representation $\varphi_A : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n+1})$. More precisely the following proposition is true (The idea of this proposition was suggested by D. Silver).

Proposition 1. *If we put*

$$u_1 = u_2 = \ldots = u_n = y, v = y^{-1},$$

and instead of generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ *we put new generators*

$$z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2^y, z_3 = x_3^{y^2}, \ldots, z_n = x_n^{y^{n-1}},$$

then the obtained group is isomorphic to F_{n+1} *and the representation* φ_{SW} *is coincide with the representation* φ_A *on this group.*

Proof. The Silver-Williams representation acts on generators $z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_n$ by the rule

$$\varphi_{SW}(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_i \longmapsto z_i z_{i+1} z_i^{-1}, \\ z_{i+1} \longmapsto z_i, \end{array} \right. \qquad \varphi_{SW}(\rho_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} z_i \longmapsto z_{i+1}^{y^{-1}}, \\ z_{i+1} \longmapsto z_i^y, \end{array} \right.$$

but it is the representation φ_A.

The Boden - Dies - Gaudreau - Gerlings - Harper - Nicas representation [6]. For brevity sake we denote this representation by the symbol φ_{BD}. Let $F_{n,2} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^2$, where F_n is freely generated by elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^2$ is freely generated by elements v, u. The representation $\varphi_{BD} : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,2})$ of the virtual braid group VB_n into the automorphism group of the group $F_{n,2}$ is defined by the action on the generators:

$$\varphi_{BD}(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1} x_i^{-u}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^u, \end{array} \right. \qquad \varphi_{BD}(\rho_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{v^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^v. \end{array} \right.$$

A new representation of the virtual braid group. Now we define a new representation of VB_n which generalizes the representations listed above. We consider the free product $F_{n,2n+1} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$, where F_n is a free group of the rank n generated by elements $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ is a free abelian group of the rank $2n+1$ freely generated by elements $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$, $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. The following statement is true

Theorem 1. *The following mapping* $\varphi_M : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,2n+1})$ *defined by the action on the generators:*

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-v_0 u_{i+1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{v_0}, \end{array} \right. \qquad \varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_i \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_i, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1}^{v_i^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{v_{i+1}}, \end{array} \right. \qquad \varphi_M(\rho_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{array} \right.$$

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} v_i \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_i, \end{cases}$$

is provided a representation of VB_n *into* $\mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,2n+1})$.

Proof. It is need to verify that the relations of the group VB_n go to the relations of VB_n. It is easy to check that it is so for the commutativity relations since the representation φ_M is the local representation.

Let us verify the correctness of the relation

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i) = \varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1}).$$

For that purpose we find the action of $\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ on the generators x_1, …, x_n. We have

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_{i+2}^{u_i u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_i u_{i+2} v_0} x_i^{-u_{i+2} v_0}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto \left(x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+1} v_0}\right)^{v_0}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i^{v_0^2}. \end{cases}$$

Analogously we find the action of $\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})$. We obtain

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i)\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i \left(x_{i+1} x_{i+2}^{u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_{i+2} v_0}\right)^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2} v_0}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{v_0} x_{i+1}^{v_0 u_i} x_i^{-{v_0}^2 u_{i+1}}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i^{v_0^2}. \end{cases}$$

Comparing the obtained automorphisms it can be seen that the long relation of the braid group holds when it acts on the generators of F_n. The fact that the relation holds with the action on subgroups generated by elements u_j and v_j follows from the fact that the automorphisms $\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ act as permutations.

We verify the correctness of the mixed relation

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i) = \varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1}).$$

For that purpose we find the action of $\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ on the generators x_1, …, x_n. We have

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1})\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+2}^{v_i^{-1} v_{i+1}^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto \left(x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+1} v_0}\right)^{v_{i+2}}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i^{v_0 v_{i+2}}. \end{cases}$$

Analogously we find the action of $\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1})$. We obtain

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\varphi_M(\rho_i)\varphi_M(\rho_{i+1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+2}^{v_{i+1}^{-1} v_i^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i^{v_{i+2}} x_{i+1}^{v_{i+2} u_i} x_i^{-v_{i+2} u_{i+1} v_0}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i^{v_{i+2} v_0}. \end{cases}$$

Comparing the obtained automorphisms it is clear that the mixed relation of the braid group holds on the generators of F_n. The fact that the relation holds with the action on subgroups generated by elements u_j and v_j follows because the automorphisms $\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ and $\varphi_M(\rho_i)$ act as permutations.

The remaining relations are verified analogously. The theorem is proved.

It is easy to check that the element $\varphi_M(\sigma_i^{-1})$ acts on the generators of the group F_n by the rule

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i^{-1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto {x_{i+1}}^{v_0^{-1}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto \left(x_{i+1}^{-v_0^{-1}} x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i}\right)^{u_{i+1}^{-1}}. \end{cases}$$

We show that this representation generalizes the representations φ_{SW} and φ_{BD}. It is true that

Proposition 2. *1) If we put*

$$v_1 = v_2 = \ldots = v_n = v$$

in the group $F_{n,2n+1}$ and insert new generators $z_1, \ldots z_n$ such that

$$z_1 = x_1, z_2 = x_2^v, z_3 = x_3^{v^2}, \ldots, z_n = x_n^{v^{n-1}}, \quad w_i = vu_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,$$

then we obtain the group $F_{n,n+1}$ on which the representation φ_M induces the representation φ_{SW}.

2) If we put

$$u_1 = u_2 = \ldots = u_n = 1, v_0 = u, v_1 = v_2 = \ldots = v_n = v$$

in the group $F_{n,2n+1}$, then we obtain the group $F_{n,2}$ on which the representation φ_M induces the representation φ_{BD}.

Proof. 1) Note that on the new generators $z_1, \ldots, z_n$ the automorphisms $\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ and $\varphi_M(\rho_i)$ act by the rule

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} z_i \longmapsto z_i z_{i+1}^{vu_i} z_i^{-u_{i+1}}, \\ z_{i+1} \longmapsto z_i^{v^{-1}}, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} z_i \longmapsto z_{i+1}, \\ z_{i+1} \longmapsto z_i. \end{cases}$$

Assuming $w_i = vu_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, we obtain the Silver-Williams representation.

The second part of the proposition is verified analogously. The proposition is proved.

The representation of the virtual pure braid group. The virtual pure braid group VP_n is the kernel of the homomorphism $VB_n \longrightarrow S_n$ which acts on the generators:

$$\sigma_i \longmapsto \rho_i, \quad \rho_i \longmapsto \rho_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1.$$

It was found out in [1] that the group VP_n is generated by elements

$$\lambda_{i,i+1} = \rho_i \sigma_i^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{i+1,i} = \rho_i \lambda_{i,i+1} \rho_i = \sigma_i^{-1} \rho_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1,$$

$$\lambda_{ij} = \rho_{j-1}\,\rho_{j-2}\ldots\rho_{i+1}\,\lambda_{i,i+1}\,\rho_{i+1}\ldots\rho_{j-2}\,\rho_{j-1},$$
$$\lambda_{ji} = \rho_{j-1}\,\rho_{j-2}\ldots\rho_{i+1}\,\lambda_{i+1,i}\,\rho_{i+1}\ldots\rho_{j-2}\,\rho_{j-1},\quad 1\le i<j-1\le n-1.$$

We find the images of the generators λ_{ij}, λ_{ji} under the representation φ_M. It is true

Proposition 3. *The representation φ_M acts on the generators of VP_n by the formulae*

$$\varphi_M(\lambda_{i,i+1}):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto \left(x_{i+1}^{-1}x_ix_{i+1}^{u_{i+1}}\right)^{u_i^{-1}v_{i+1}^{-1}},\\ x_{i+1}\longmapsto x_{i+1}^{v_i},\end{cases}\quad \varphi_M(\lambda_{i+1,i}):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto x_i^{v_{i+1}},\\ x_{i+1}\longmapsto \left(x_i^{-v_{i+1}}x_{i+1}^{v_i^{-1}}x_i^{v_{i+1}u_i}\right)^{u_{i+1}^{-1}},\end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_M(\lambda_{ij}):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto \left(x_j^{-v_{i+1}^{-1}\ldots v_{j-1}^{-1}}x_ix_j^{-v_{i+1}^{-1}\ldots v_{j-1}^{-1}u_j}\right)^{u_i^{-1}v_j^{-1}},\\ x_j\longmapsto x_j^{v_i},\end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_M(\lambda_{ji}):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto x_i^{v_j},\\ x_j\longmapsto \left(x_i^{-v_j}x_j^{v_i^{-1}\ldots v_{j-1}^{-1}}x_i^{v_ju_i}\right)^{u_j^{-1}v_{i+1}\ldots v_{j-1}},\end{cases}$$

where $1\le i<j-1\le n-1$.

The representation of the welded braid group. We consider $F_{n,n+1}$ which equals to the free product $F_n * \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$, where $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ is a free abelian group of the rank $n+1$ freely generated by elements $v, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$. The following statement is true

Proposition 4. *The mapping $\psi_M : WB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,n+1})$ defined by the action on generators*

$$\psi_M(\sigma_i):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto x_ix_{i+1}^{u_i}x_i^{-vu_{i+1}},\\ x_{i+1}\longmapsto x_i^{v},\end{cases}\qquad \psi_M(\sigma_i):\begin{cases} u_i\longmapsto u_{i+1},\\ u_{i+1}\longmapsto u_i,\end{cases}$$

$$\psi_M(\alpha_i):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto x_{i+1},\\ x_{i+1}\longmapsto x_i,\end{cases}\qquad \psi_M(\alpha_i):\begin{cases} u_i\longmapsto u_{i+1},\\ u_{i+1}\longmapsto u_i\end{cases}$$

determines the representation of the group WB_n into the group $\mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,n+1})$.

It could be seen that the representation of the group WB_n is obtained from the one of the group VB_n providing all generators v_i are sent to 1, where $1\le i\le n$.

Proof. It is sufficient to check that the relation $\mathcal{F}_1$ holds

$$\psi_M(\rho_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i) = \psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\rho_{i+1})$$

which is the forbidden relation in VB_n. We find the action of the automorphism $\psi_M(\rho_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)$ on the generators of F_n. We obtain

$$\psi_M(\rho_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i):\begin{cases} x_i\longmapsto x_ix_{i+2}^{u_i}x_i^{-u_{i+2}},\\ x_{i+1}\longmapsto x_ix_{i+1}^{u_i}x_i^{-u_{i+1}},\\ x_{i+2}\longmapsto x_i.\end{cases}$$

Analogously we find the action of the automorphism $\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\rho_{i+1})$. We have

$$\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\rho_{i+1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i x_{i+2}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+1}}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i. \end{cases}$$

Comparing the obtained automorphisms we see that the required relation holds.

The proposition is proved.

As it is known the relation $\mathcal{F}_1$ holds in WB_n but at the same time the relation $\mathcal{F}_2$ does not hold. It would be interesting to construct representations of other factor-groups of the group VB_n. For example, the representation of the group UVB_n in which both forbidden relations hold. We verify the conditions for the second forbidden relation $\mathcal{F}_2$ to hold:

$$\psi_M(\rho_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1}) = \psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\rho_i).$$

We find the action of the automorphism $\psi_M(\rho_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})$ on the generators of F_n. We obtain

$$\psi_M(\rho_{i+1})\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1}) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_i \left(x_{i+1} x_{i+2}^{u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_{i+2}} \right)^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i. \end{cases}$$

Analogously we find the action of the automorphism $\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\rho_i)$. We have

$$\psi_M(\sigma_i)\psi_M(\sigma_{i+1})\psi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} x_i \longmapsto x_{i+1} \left(x_i x_{i+2}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2}} \right)^{u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_{i+2}}, \\ x_{i+1} \longmapsto x_{i+1}, \\ x_{i+2} \longmapsto x_i. \end{cases}$$

Comparing the obtained automorphisms it can be seen that the required relation holds providing the following restriction

$$\left(x_{i+1} x_{i+2}^{u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_{i+2}} \right)^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2}} = x_{i+1} \left(x_i x_{i+2}^{u_i} x_i^{-u_{i+2}} \right)^{u_{i+1}} x_{i+1}^{-u_{i+2}},$$

i. e.

$$\left(x_{i+1}^{-u_i} x_i^{-1} x_i x_i^{u_{i+1}} \right) \cdot x_{i+2}^{u_i u_{i+1}} = x_{i+2}^{u_i u_{i+1}} \cdot \left(x_{i+1}^{-u_i} x_i^{-1} x_i x_i^{u_{i+1}} \right)^{u_{i+2}}.$$

These are the relations that are of the form $ab = ba^c$ or equally $[ac, b] = 1$.

Question 1. What is the group where these relations hold?

§ 3. Representation that is equivalent to the representation φ_M

The constructed representation φ_M is not an extension of the Artin representation which is known to be faithful on the subgroup B_n. We show that the representation φ_M is equivalent to the simpler one which is an extension of the Artin representation. Let $F_{n,n} = F_n * \mathbb{Z}^n$ where $F_n = \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n \rangle$ is the free group and $\mathbb{Z}^n = \langle v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \rangle$ is the free abelian group of the rank n. It is true

Theorem 2. *The representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,n})$ defined by the action on the generators*

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \longmapsto y_i y_{i+1} y_i^{-1}, \\ y_{i+1} \longmapsto y_i, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} v_i \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_i, \end{cases}$$

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \longmapsto y_{i+1}^{v_i^{-1}}, \\ y_{i+1} \longmapsto y_i^{v_{i+1}}, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} v_i \longmapsto v_{i+1}, \\ v_{i+1} \longmapsto v_i \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to the representation φ_M.

The theorem proof is due to the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *If we take new generators y_i and w_i in the group $F_{n,2n+1}$ such that $y_i = (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}}$, $w_i = v_i v_0^{-1}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, instead of generators x_i, v_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, than the representation*

$$\varphi_M : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n,2n+1})$$

acts on new generators $y_1, \ldots, y_n$, $u_1, \ldots, u_n$, $v_0, w_1, \ldots, w_n$ by the following way

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \longmapsto y_i y_{i+1} y_i^{-1}, \\ y_{i+1} \longmapsto y_i, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \begin{cases} w_i \longmapsto w_{i+1}, \\ w_{i+1} \longmapsto w_i, \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} y_i \longmapsto y_{i+1}^{w_i^{-1}}, \\ y_{i+1} \longmapsto y_i^{w_{i+1}}, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} u_i \longmapsto u_{i+1}, \\ u_{i+1} \longmapsto u_i, \end{cases} \qquad \varphi_M(\rho_i) : \begin{cases} w_i \longmapsto w_{i+1}, \\ w_{i+1} \longmapsto w_i. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to find the action $\varphi_M(\sigma_i)$ and $\varphi_M(\rho_i)$ on y_i, y_{i+1}, w_i, w_{i+1}, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_M(\sigma_i)(y_i) &= (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \longmapsto (x_i x_{i+1}^{u_i} x_i^{-v_0 u_{i+1}} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\
&= (x_i u_i^{-1} x_{i+1} u_i v_0^{-1} u_{i+1}^{-1} x_i^{-1} v_0 u_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\
&= (x_i u_i^{-1} x_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1} u_i v_0^{-1} x_i^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\
&= (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1} v_0 x_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1} v_0^{-1} v_0 u_i x_i^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\
&= (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} (x_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1})^{v_0^{-i}} (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{-v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\
&= y_i y_{i+1} y_i^{-1}, \\
\varphi_M(\sigma_i)(y_{i+1}) &= (x_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-i}} \mapsto (x_i^{v_0} u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-i}} = (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} = y_i, \\
\varphi_M(\sigma_i)(w_i) &= v_i v_0^{-1} \mapsto v_{i+1} v_0^{-1} = w_{i+1}, \\
\varphi_M(\sigma_i)(w_{i+1}) &= v_{i+1} v_0^{-1} \mapsto v_i v_0^{-1} = w_i,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}\varphi_M(\rho_i)(y_i) & = (x_i u_i^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \longmapsto (x_{i+1}^{v_i^{-1}} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-(i-1)}} \\ & = (x_{i+1} u_{i+1}^{-1} v_0^{-1})^{v_0^{-i} v_i^{-1} v_0} = y_{i+1}^{w_i^{-1}}, \\ \varphi_M(\rho_i)(w_i) & = v_i v_0^{-1} \mapsto v_{i+1} v_0^{-1} = w_{i+1}, \\ \varphi_M(\rho_i)(w_{i+1}) & = v_{i+1} v_0^{-1} \mapsto v_i v_0^{-1} = w_i. \end{array}$$

The lemma is proved.

On account of the Lemma 1 the subgroups

$$F_{n,n} = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n, w_1, \ldots, w_n \rangle, \quad W = \langle w_1, \ldots, w_n \rangle, \quad U = \langle u_1, \ldots, u_n \rangle, \quad V_0 = \langle v_0 \rangle$$

of $F_{n,2n+1}$ are invariants under the action of $\varphi_M(VB_n)$. In particular, the representation φ_M induces the representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ of the group VB_n into the group $Aut(F_{n,n})$. Also note that the restrictions $\varphi_M(VB_n)$ on the subgroups W and U are equivalent with respect to the isomorphisms $u_i \leftrightarrows w_i$ where $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Lemma 2. *The representations φ_M and $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ are equivalent, i. e. $\varphi_M(\beta) = 1$ if and only if $\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\beta) = 1$ for some $\beta \in VB_n$.*

Proof follows directly from the fact that the restrictions of $\varphi_M(VB_n)$ on the subgroups W and U are equivalent. The lemma is proved.

Theorem 2 follows from the proved lemmas.

Hence the most general representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ is in fact the extension of the Artin representation of the braid group B_n.

Using the result of O. Chterental [8] who proved that the representation φ_A of the group VB_n is not faithful for $n \geq 4$, it could be shown that the representations φ_{SW} and φ_{BD} are not faithful for $n \geq 4$ as well. It is true

Proposition 5. *The element $\beta = (\sigma_2^{-1} \rho_1 \sigma_2 \rho_3)^3 \in VP_4$ lies in the kernel of the representations φ_{SW} as well as φ_{BD} and it does not lie in the kernel of the representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$.*

Proof. The straightforward verification that $\varphi_{SW}(\beta) = 1$ and $\varphi_{BD}(\beta) = 1$ gives us the first part of the proof.

Further we denote $\sigma_2^{-1} \rho_1 \sigma_2 \rho_3$ by α, then $\beta = \alpha^3$. To check the remaining part of the proof we find the automorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\alpha)$. We obtain

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\alpha) : \begin{cases} x_1 \longmapsto (x_2 x_4^{v_3^{-1}} x_2^{-1})^{v_1^{-1}}, \\ x_2 \longmapsto x_2, \\ x_3 \longmapsto x_2^{-1} x_1^{v_4} x_2, \\ x_4 \longmapsto x_3^{v_4}, \end{cases} \qquad \widetilde{\varphi}_M(\alpha) : \begin{cases} u_1 \longmapsto u_4, \\ u_2 \longmapsto u_2, \\ u_3 \longmapsto u_1, \\ u_4 \longmapsto u_3. \end{cases}$$

Next we find

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\beta) : \begin{cases} x_1 \longmapsto x_2^{v_3^{-1}} \left(x_2^{-1} x_1^{v_4} x_2\right)^{v_4^{-1}} x_2^{-v_3^{-1}}, \\ x_2 \longmapsto x_2, \\ x_3 \longmapsto x_2^{-1} x_2^{v_1} x_3 x_2^{-v_4^{-1}} x_2, \\ x_4 \longmapsto x_2^{-v_1} \left(x_2 x_4^{v_3^{-1}} x_2^{-1}\right)^{v_3} x_2^{v_1}, \end{cases}$$

i. e. $\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\beta) \neq 1$. The proposition is proved.

Nevertheless the following question remains open

Question 2. Is the representation $\varphi_A : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(F_{n+1})$ faithful for $n = 3$?

§ 4. The link groups

To find a presentation of the link group two approaches could be used. The first one is the Wirtinger approach when the link diagram on the plane is used to find the generators and defining relations of the link group. The second one is to consider the link as a closed braid and use the braid group representation into the automorphism group of some group. Both these approaches are described in the book [5] for classical links and in the paper [4] for virtual ones. In particular, for each virtual braid β the groups $G_A(\beta)$, $G_{SW}(\beta)$, $G_{BD}(\beta)$ and $G_M(\beta)$ could be defined using the representations φ_A, φ_{SW}, φ_{BD} and φ_M correspondingly. In addition it should be proved that the corresponding group depends only on the link $\widehat{\beta}$ (the link $\widehat{\beta}$ is the closure of the braid β) if we want to construct the link invariant.

The classical link group. Recall that for a classical link L in $\mathbb{S}^3$ *its group* $G(L)$ is the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus L)$ of the complement of L in $\mathbb{S}^3$. To find generators and relations of the group $G(L)$ either the link diagram or the consideration of the link as the closed braid and the Artin representation could be used. In the case we use the link diagram the generator is assigned to each connected component and the following relation is assigned to each classical crossing: $ab = bc$ or its equivalent $c = b^{-1}ab$ for the positive crossing (see Fig. 1 on the left) and $ab = ca$ or its equivalent $c = aba^{-1}$ for the negative crossing (see Fig. 1 on the right).



Figure 1: Relations in classical crossings

The generalized Alexander group. D. Silver and S. Williams [21] defined the generalized Alexander group $\widetilde{A}_L$ for the virtual d-component link $L = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \ldots \cup K_d$. For that purpose



Figure 2: Relation in the Alexander generalized group

the free abelian group $\Pi_d = \langle u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_d, v\rangle$ of the rank $d+1$ was used. In particular provided L a knot, the free abelian group $\Pi_1 = \langle u, v\rangle$ of the rank 2 is used.

The particular generator is assigned to each arc of the diagram L from one classical crossing to another one. Two relations are assigned to each positive crossing: $ab^{u_i} = cd^{u_j}$, $b = c^v$ (see Fig. 2 on the left) which could be written in the form

$$c = b^{v^{-1}}, \quad d = b^{-v^{-1}u_j^{-1}} a^{u_j^{-1}} b^{u_i u_j^{-1}}.$$

Two relations are assigned to each negative crossing: $ab^{u_i} = cd^{u_j}$, $d = a^v$ (see Fig. 2 on the right) which could be written in the form

$$c = ab^{u_i} a^{-vu_j}, \quad d = a^v.$$

No relation is assigned to the virtual crossing.

The welded braid group. For the welded d component link L the generalized Alexander group A_L is constructed (see [21]) which is obtained from the corresponding virtual link by putting $v = 1$, i. e. the following relations correspond to each classical crossing

$$ab^{u_i} = cd^{u_j}, \quad b = c$$

for the positive case and the relations

$$ab^{u_i} = cd^{u_j}, \quad d = a$$

for the negative one.

The generalized Alexander group of the trivial d component link U_d is a free product $F_d * \mathbb{Z}^d$ of the free group of the rank d and the free abelian group of the same rank.

§ 5. The virtual link groups

In the present section the new definition of the virtual link group is given. For this two approaches are used: the group will be defined at first with the help of the representation φ_M (the braid approach) then through the virtual diagram. Next the equivalence of these two definitions is shown.

The braid approach. We describe the general way which allows to construct the link invariants through the representation of the virtual braid group by automorphisms of some group. Assume that we have a representation $\varphi : VB_n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(H)$ of the virtual braid group into the automorphism group of some group $H = \langle h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m \parallel \mathcal{R}\rangle$, where $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of defining relations. The following group is assigned to the virtual braid $\beta \in VB_n$:

$$G_\varphi(\beta) = \langle h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_m \parallel \mathcal{R}, h_i = \varphi(\beta)(h_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, m\rangle.$$

The group G_φ is the invariant of the virtual link providing the verification that the group $G_\varphi(\beta)$ is isomorphic to $G_\varphi(\beta')$ for each braid β' such that the links $\widehat{\beta}$ and $\widehat{\beta'}$ are equivalent.

This approach is used for the previously defined representation φ_M. Given $\beta \in VB_n$, the *group of the braid* β is the following group

$$G_M(\beta) = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_n \parallel [u_i, u_j] = [v_k, v_l] = [u_i, v_k] = 1,$$
$$x_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(x_i), \quad u_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(u_i), \quad v_i = \varphi_M(\beta)(v_i), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad k, l = 0, 1, \ldots, n\rangle.$$

Let show that the group defined in this manner is indeed the link invariant. More precisely it is true

Theorem 3. *Given $\beta \in VB_n$ and $\beta' \in VB_m$ the two virtual braids such that theirs closures define the same link L, then $G_M(\beta) \cong G_M(\beta')$, i. e. the group $G_M(\beta)$ is the link L invariant and it could be denoted by the symbol $G_M(\widehat{\beta}) = G_M(L)$.*

The next paragraph is dedicated to the proof of the theorem.

The diagram approach. Now we show the way of how to find the virtual link group using its diagram. Let D_L be a diagram of a virtual d-component link L. This diagram is divided into arcs from one crossing (classical or virtual) to other and for every obtained arc a generator is assigned. Thus we have some set of generators $a, b, c, \ldots$. We numerate all components of D_L by numbers from 1 to d and assign to the i th component two generators: u_i and v_i.

A *group of the diagram* D_L is the group generated by elements $a, b, c, \ldots$, u_i, v_0, v_i, $i = 1, \ldots, d$, and defined by the following system of relations: two relations correspond to each crossing(see Fig. 3, 4). Furthermore, all elements u_i and v_j commute pairwise , i. e. $\langle u_1, \ldots, u_d, v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_d\rangle$ is a free abelian group of the rank $2d + 1$.

This group is denoted by $G_D(D_L)$. If D'_L is another diagram of L, then D_L can be transformed to D'_L with the help of a finite number of generalized Reidemeister moves and a flat isotopy. Analogously to the case of classical link diagrams it is not difficult to prove



Figure 3: The relations in classical crossings of the group G_M



Figure 4: The relations in the virtual crossing of the group G_M

Proposition 6. *If D_L and D'_L are two diagrams of a virtual link L, then groups $G_D(D_L)$ and $G_D(D'_L)$ are isomorphic. Hence $G_D(D_L)$ is an invariant of L and we denote it by $G_D(L)$.*

Now we show that the group of a virtual link L constructed by the braid is isomorphic to the group constructed by the diagram. More precisely, it is true

Proposition 7. *Let L be a virtual link, D_L be its diagram, β be a braid such that its closure $\widehat{\beta}$ is equivalent to L, then $G_D(D_L)$ is isomorphic to $G_M(\beta)$.*

Proof. Let β be a virtual braid from the group VB_n for some n. We consider the braid β as a word in the alphabet $\{\sigma_1^{\pm 1}, \sigma_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}, \rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_{n-1}\}$. Every generator σ_i, σ_i^{-1} and ρ_i has a geometric interpretation and can be presented by its diagram. Hence the braid β can be presented by a diagram which is divided in the layers so that each layer contains only one crossing: classical or virtual. The generators σ_i or σ_i^{-1} correspond to the classical crossing and the generator ρ_i corresponds to the virtual one. If the braid β is a word of the length m in the

group VB_n, then its diagram contains m layers. We consider the first layer. It contains n arcs. The middle line of the first layer divides these arcs in half. We assign to starting parts of these arcs the symbols $x_{1,j}, u_{1,j}, v_{1,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. To the next parts of the arcs which end at the middle of the second layer we assign the symbols $x_{2,j}, u_{2,j}, v_{2,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By continuing this process we divide the diagram β into $n(m+1)$ arcs.

We are moving alongside the braid diagram from the bottom upwards, i.e. we are reading the word β from right to left. Let the k-th layer contain the classical crossing, which corresponds to the elementary braid σ_i. In this layer we have two sets of arcs with the corresponding sets of symbols x_{kj}, u_{kj}, v_{kj} and $x_{k+1,j}$, $u_{k+1,j}$, $v_{k+1,j}$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$.



Figure 5: Classical positive crossing

Due to the formulae of the Theorem 1, the following automorphism corresponds to the braid σ_i

$$\varphi_M(\sigma_i) : \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_{k+1,i} \longmapsto x_{k,i} x_{k,i+1}^{u_{ki}} x_{k,i}^{-v_0 u_{k,i+1}}, \\ x_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto x_{k,i}^{v_0}, \\ x_{k+1,j} \longmapsto x_{k,j}, \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_{k+1,i} \longmapsto u_{k,i+1}, \\ u_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto u_{k,i}, \\ u_{k+1,j} \longmapsto u_{k,j}, \end{array} \right. \left\{ \begin{array}{l} v_{k+1,i} \longmapsto v_{k,i+1}, \\ v_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto v_{k,i}, \\ v_{k+1,j} \longmapsto v_{k,j}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $j \neq i, i+1$. The case when the k-th layer contains σ_i^{-1} is considered by analogy.

If the k-th layer contains ρ_i (see Fig. 6), then we have the following automorphism



Figure 6: Virtual crossing

$$\varphi_M(\rho_i): \begin{cases} x_{k+1,i} \longmapsto x_{k,i+1}^{v_{ki}^{-1}}, \\ x_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto x_{k,i}^{v_{k,i+1}}, \\ x_{k+1,j} \longmapsto x_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} u_{k+1,i} \longmapsto u_{k,i+1}, \\ u_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto u_{k,i}, \\ u_{k+1,j} \longmapsto u_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} v_{k+1,i} \longmapsto v_{k,i+1}, \\ v_{k+1,i+1} \longmapsto v_{k,i}, \\ v_{k+1,j} \longmapsto v_{k,j}, \end{cases}$$

where $j \neq i, i+1$.

Since in every layer top and bottom arcs are identified we can write the set of relations

1)

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1,i} = x_{k,i} x_{k,i+1}^{u_{ki}} x_{k,i}^{-v_0 u_{k,i+1}}, \\ x_{k+1,i+1} = x_{k,i}^{v_0}, \\ x_{k+1,j} = x_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} u_{k+1,i} = u_{k,i+1}, \\ u_{k+1,i+1} = u_{k,i}, \\ u_{k+1,j} = u_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} v_{k+1,i} = v_{k,i+1}, \\ v_{k+1,i+1} = v_{k,i}, \\ v_{k+1,j} = v_{k,j}, \end{cases}$$

where $j \neq i, i+1$, for the k-th layer corresponded to σ_i;

2)

$$\begin{cases} x_{k+1,i} = x_{k,i+1}^{v_{ki}^{-1}}, \\ x_{k+1,i+1} = x_{k,i}^{v_{k,i+1}}, \\ x_{k+1,j} = x_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} u_{k+1,i} = u_{k,i+1}, \\ u_{k+1,i+1} = u_{k,i}, \\ u_{k+1,j} = u_{k,j}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} v_{k+1,i} = v_{k,i+1}, \\ v_{k+1,i+1} = v_{k,i}, \\ v_{k+1,j} = v_{k,j}, \end{cases}$$

where $j \neq i, i+1$, for k-th layer which corresponds to ρ_i.

Also we have to add

3) commutation relations:

$$[u_{kl}, u_{pq}] = [u_{kl}, v_{pq}] = [v_{kl}, v_{pq}] = [u_{kl}, v_0] = [v_{kl}, v_0] = 1, \quad k, p = 1, \ldots, m+1, l, q = 1, \ldots, n.$$

Using these relations, we move alongside the braid β from the bottom upwards and we express the generators $x_{m+1,j}$, $u_{m+1,j}$, $v_{m+1,j}$ from the m-th layer through the generators $x_{m,j}$, $u_{m,j}$, $v_{m,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Next we consider the $m-1$-th layer and expressing the generators $x_{m+1,j}$, $u_{m+1,j}$, $v_{m+1,j}$ through the generators $x_{m-1,j}$, $u_{m-1,j}$, $v_{m-1,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, and so on. And we express the generators $x_{m+1,j}$, $u_{m+1,j}$, $v_{m+1,j}$, through the generators $x_{1,j}$, $u_{1,j}$, $v_{1,j}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ when we arrive to the first layer.

To come to the closed braid $\widehat{\beta}$ we must connect initial arcs of the diagram β with the terminal arcs of this diagram, i.e. we must add the relations

4)

$$x_{m+1,j} = x_{1,j}, \quad u_{m+1,j} = u_{1,j}, \quad v_{m+1,j} = v_{1,j}, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n.$$

It follows from relations 1), 2), 4) that the generators $u_{k,l}$, $v_{k,l}$ that correspond to one component of the link are equal. This corresponds to the fact that under the homomorphism of VB_n onto S_n the image β in S_n is a product of d independent cycles. Hence among the generators $u_{i,l}$ (also as among the generators $v_{i,l}$) there are only d different ones.

Excluding transitional letters $x_{k,l}$, $u_{k,l}$, $v_{k,l}$, $k \geq 2$, we obtain relations

$$\varphi_M(\beta)(x_1) = x_1, \ldots, \varphi_M(\beta)(x_n) = x_n,$$

$$\varphi_M(\beta)(u_1) = u_1, \ldots, \varphi_M(\beta)(u_n) = u_n,$$

$$\varphi_M(\beta)(v_1) = v_1, \ldots, \varphi_M(\beta)(v_n) = v_n,$$

of the virtual link group constructed using the braid β. Here $x_{1,1} = x_1$, ..., $x_{1,n} = x_n$, $u_{1,1} = u_1$, ..., $u_{1,n} = u_n$, $v_{1,1} = v_1$, ..., $v_{1,n} = v_n$. Hence we get the representation of the group $G_M(\beta)$. The proposition is proved.

Welded link group. A welded ling group could be defined analogously using the representation ψ_M. Let a welded link L_w be equivalent to a closure of the braid $\beta_w \in WB_n$ for some n. *The group of the braid* β_w is the following group

$$G(\beta_w) = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \mid\mid [u_i, u_j] = 1,$$

$$x_i = \psi_M(\beta_w)(x_i), \quad u_i = \psi_M(\beta_w)(u_i), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle.$$

Taking into account the fact that the group WB_n is a homomorphic image of the group VB_n, it could be concluded from Theorem 3 that the group $G(\beta_w)$ is an invariant of the link L_w.

§ 6. Proof of Theorem 3

The proof of this theorem is divided into several steps. At first it will be shown that the group $G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta)$ which is constructed using the representation $\widetilde{\varphi_M}$ is the invariant of closed braid $\widehat{\beta}$. It is true

Theorem 4. *Let $\beta \in VB_n$ and $\beta' \in VB_m$ be two virtual braids such that theirs closures define the same link L, then $G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta) \cong G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta')$, i. e. the group $G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta)$ is the invariant of the link $L = \widehat{\beta}$ and it will be denoted by the symbol $G_{\widetilde{M}}(L)$.*

Proof. Kamada proved the analogue of Markov's theorem in the case of virtual braids [10]. This theorem formulated in the algebraic terms by Kauffman and Lambropoulou [12] is more convenient for the verification. According to this theorem two oriented virtual links are equivalent if and only if two corresponding virtual braids differ by braid relations in VB_n and a finite sequence of the following moves or their inverses:

1) Virtual and real conjugation:

$$\beta \to \rho_k \beta \rho_k, \quad \beta \to \sigma_k \beta \sigma_k^{-1};$$

2) Right virtual and real stabilization:

$$\beta \to \beta \rho_n, \quad \beta \to \beta \sigma_n^{\pm 1},$$

3) Algebraic right over/under threading:

$$\beta \to \beta \sigma_n^{\pm 1} \rho_{n-1} \sigma_n^{\mp 1},$$

4) Algebraic left over/under threading:

$$\beta \to \beta\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{\mp 1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}^{\pm 1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n,$$

where $\beta, \rho_k, \sigma_k \in VB_n, k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and $\rho_n, \sigma_n \in VB_{n+1}$.

It is needed to verify that groups obtained by moves 1) – 4) are isomorphic to the group $G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta)$.

To simplify notations we will write β instead of $\widetilde{\varphi}_M(\beta)$ and write automorphisms to the right of the arguments. We denote by symbol G_1 the group constructed using the braid β:

$$G_1 = G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta) = \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \parallel y_i = y_i\beta, \quad v_i = v_i\beta, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle.$$

From now on we will not write commutativity relations of the elements v_i.

1) We consider the conjugacy of the braid β in the group VB_n. Conjugating by the generator σ_k^{-1} the following group is obtained

$$G_2 = G_{\widetilde{M}}(\sigma_k\beta\sigma_k^{-1}) = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n \parallel y_i = y_i(\sigma_k\beta\sigma_k^{-1}), \ v_i = v_i(\sigma_k\beta\sigma_k^{-1}), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle,$$

where $k \in 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$. To prove that $G_2 \cong G_1$ defining relations of G_2 are rewritten in the form

$$y_i\sigma_k = y_i(\sigma_k\beta), \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

If $i \neq k, k+1$, due to the equality $y_i\sigma_k = y_i$, this relation is equivalent consequently

$$y_i = y_i\beta$$

which is the relation in G_1. Thus only four relations are needed to consider:

$$\begin{array}{ll} y_k\sigma_k = y_k(\sigma_k\beta), & y_{k+1}\sigma_k = y_{k+1}(\sigma_k\beta), \\ v_k\sigma_k = v_k(\sigma_k\beta), & v_{k+1}\sigma_k = v_{k+1}(\sigma_k\beta). \end{array} \tag{12}$$

By definition of $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ relations (12) are equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ll} y_ky_{k+1}y_k^{-1} = (y_ky_{k+1}y_k^{-1})\beta, & y_k = y_k\beta, \\ v_{k+1} = v_{k+1}\beta, & v_k = v_k\beta. \end{array}$$

It is clear that the second, the third and the fourth relations are relations in G_1. We rewrite the first relation:

$$y_ky_{k+1}y_k^{-1} = (y_k\beta)(y_{k+1}\beta)(y_k^{-1}\beta)$$

Using the second relation we obtain

$$y_{k+1} = y_{k+1}\beta,$$

i. e. it is a relation in G_1. Hence it has been proved that it is possible to go from the group G_2 to the group G_1 using the Tietze transformations.

We are considering the conjugation by the element ρ_k. In that case we have

$$G_2 = G_{\widetilde{M}}(\rho_k\beta\rho_k) = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n \parallel y_i = y_i(\rho_k\beta\rho_k),\ v_i = v_i(\rho_k\beta\rho_k),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\rangle.$$

We rewrite defining relations of G_2 in the form

$$y_i\rho_k = y_i(\rho_k\beta),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

If $i \neq k, k+1$, then we obtain

$$y_i = y_i\beta,$$

due to $y_i\rho_k = y_i$. But it is the relation in G_1. Hence only four relations have to be considered:

$$\begin{aligned} y_k\rho_k &= y_k(\rho_k\beta), & y_{k+1}\rho_k &= y_{k+1}(\rho_k\beta),\\ v_k\rho_k &= v_k(\rho_k\beta), & v_{k+1}\rho_k &= v_{k+1}(\rho_k\beta). \end{aligned}$$

These relations are equivalent to the following ones

$$\begin{aligned} y_{k+1}^{v_k^{-1}} &= y_{k+1}^{v_k^{-1}}\beta, & y_k^{v_{k+1}} &= y_k^{v_{k+1}}\beta,\\ v_{k+1} &= v_{k+1}\beta, & v_k &= v_k\beta. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the relation of G_1 is obtained. Thus the fact has been proved that the set of relations in G_2 is equivalent to the set of relations in G_1.

2) We are considering the move of the braid $\beta \in VB_n$ into the braid $\beta\sigma_n^{-1} \in VB_{n+1}$. We are dealing with two groups G_1 and

$$G_2 = G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta\sigma_n^{-1}) = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} \parallel y_i = y_i(\beta\sigma_n^{-1}),\ v_i = v_i(\beta\sigma_n^{-1}),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\rangle$$

and it is needed to prove that they are isomorphic.

We rewrite relations of G_2 in the form

$$y_i\sigma_n = y_i\beta,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1.$$

Using the same reasoning as in 1) we have to consider only four relations:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n\sigma_n &= y_n\beta, & y_{n+1}\sigma_n &= y_{n+1}\beta\\ v_n\sigma_n &= v_n\beta, & v_{n+1}\sigma_n &= v_{n+1}\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

By definition $\varphi_{\widetilde{M}}$ relations (13) are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} y_n y_{n+1} y_n^{-1} &= y_n\beta, & y_n &= y_{n+1},\\ v_{n+1} &= v_n\beta, & v_n &= v_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the second relation the first one is rewritten in the form

$$y_n = y_n\beta$$

which is the relation in G_1. Using relations $y_{n+1} = y_n$ and $v_{n+1} = v_n$ we can exclude y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} from the generator set of the group G_2. Thus it has been proved that the group G_2 is isomorphic to the group G_1.

The move of the braid $\beta \in VB_n$ into the braid $\beta\sigma_n \in VB_{n+1}$ are considered analogously.

Now we consider the move of the braid $\beta \in VB_n$ into the braid $\beta\rho_n \in VB_{n+1}$. Two groups are obtained, G_1 and

$$G_2 = G_{\widehat{M}}(\beta\rho_n) = \langle y_1, \ldots, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} \parallel y_i = y_i(\beta\rho_n),\ v_i = v_i(\beta\rho_n),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n{+}1\rangle.$$

For $i = n$ and $i = n + 1$ we have the following relations in G_2:

$$\begin{aligned} y_n\rho_n &= y_n\beta, \qquad & y_{n+1}\rho_n &= y_{n+1}\beta \\ v_n\rho_n &= v_n\beta, \qquad & v_{n+1}\rho_n &= v_{n+1}\beta \end{aligned}$$

which are equivalent to relations

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+1}^{v_n^{-1}} &= y_n\beta, \qquad & y_n^{v_{n+1}} &= y_{n+1}\beta = y_{n+1}, \\ v_{n+1} &= v_n\beta, \qquad & v_n &= v_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting y_{n+1} from the second equation into the first relation, we obtain

$$y_n = y_n\beta$$

and that is the relation in G_1. Using the second and the fourth relations variables y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} could be excluded from the set of generators of the group G_2. Hence we have proved that the group G_2 is isomorphic to the group G_1.

3) We are considering the algebraic right over threading, i. e. the move of the braid $\beta \in VB_n$ into the braid $\beta\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1} \in VB_{n+1}$. In this case we have G_1 and

$$\begin{aligned} G_2 = G_{\widetilde{M}}(\beta\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) =& \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} \parallel \\ & y_i = y_i(\beta\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}),\quad v_i = v_i(\beta\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}),\quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $G_1 \cong G_2$ we rewrite relations of G_2 in the form

$$y_i\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1} = y_i\beta,\quad v_i\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1} = v_i\beta,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1.$$

If $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$ then we have

$$y_i = y_i\beta,\quad v_i = v_i\beta.$$

These are relations in G_1. Hence we have to consider only six relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &y_{n-1}(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = y_{n-1}\beta,\quad y_n(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = y_n\beta,\quad y_{n+1}(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = y_{n+1}\beta, \\ &v_{n-1}(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = v_{n-1}\beta,\quad v_n(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = v_n\beta,\quad v_{n+1}(\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = v_{n+1}\beta. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By definition $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ the braid $\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}$ acts on generators $y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_{n-1}, v_n, v_{n+1}$ in the following way:

$$\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}: \begin{cases} y_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} y_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}} y_n^{v_{n-1}^{-1}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n^{-1}} y_{n+1}^{v_{n-1}^{-1}}, \\ y_n \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} y_n y_{n+1} y_n^{-1} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}} y_{n-1}^{v_n} y_{n+1} y_{n-1}^{-v_n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n^{-1}} y_{n-1}^{v_{n+1}} y_{n+1}^{-1} y_n y_{n+1} y_{n-1}^{-v_{n+1}}, \\ y_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n} y_n \xrightarrow{\rho_{n-1}} y_{n-1}^{v_n} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n^{-1}} y_{n-1}^{v_{n+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Analogously

$$\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}: \begin{cases} v_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}} v_{n+1}, \\ v_n \xrightarrow{\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}} v_n, \\ v_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}} v_{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, relations (14) for the representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ are equivalent to

$$y_{n+1}^{v_{n-1}^{-1}} = y_{n-1}\beta, \quad y_{n-1}^{v_{n+1}} y_{n+1}^{-1} y_n y_{n+1} y_{n-1}^{-v_{n+1}} = y_n\beta, \quad y_{n-1}^{v_{n+1}} = y_{n+1}\beta,$$

$$v_{n+1} = v_{n-1}\beta, \quad v_n = v_n\beta, \quad v_{n-1} = v_{n+1}\beta.$$

The braid β acts on generators y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} trivially since $\beta \in VB_n$, i. e. $y_{n+1}\beta = y_{n+1}$, $v_{n+1}\beta = v_{n+1}$. Consequently, the third and the sixth relations are equivalent to

$$y_{n-1}^{v_{n+1}} = y_{n+1}, \quad v_{n-1} = v_{n+1},$$

correspondingly. Substituting the third relation into the first one and taking into account the sixth relation, we obtain

$$y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}\beta,$$

which is the relation in G_1. Using the third relation we obtain that the second relation is equivalent to

$$y_n = y_n\beta,$$

which is the relation in G_1. Besides y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} could be excluded from the generator set of G_2. Therefore, it has been proved that the set of relations of G_2 is equivalent to the set of relations of G_1.

4) We are considering the algebraic left over threading, i. e. the move of the braid $\beta \in VB_n$ into the braid $\beta\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n \in VB_{n+1}$. In this case

$$G_2 = G(\beta\sigma_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_n^{-1}) = \langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_1, \ldots, v_n, v_{n+1} \parallel$$
$$y_i = y_i(\beta\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n),\ v_i = v_i(\beta\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n),\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1\rangle.$$

To prove that $G_1 \cong G_2$ we rewrite relations of G_2 in the form

$$y_i\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n = y_i\beta,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n+1.$$

If $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2$ we have

$$y_i = y_i\beta$$

which is the relation in G_1. Hence it has to be considered only six relations:

$$\begin{array}{c} y_{n-1}(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = y_{n-1}\beta, \\ y_n(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = y_n\beta, \\ y_{n+1}(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = y_{n+1}\beta, \\ v_{n-1}(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = v_{n-1}\beta, \\ v_n(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = v_n\beta, \\ v_{n+1}(\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n) = v_{n+1}\beta. \end{array} \tag{15}$$

Denote the braid $\rho_n\rho_{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^{-1}\rho_n\sigma_{n-1}\rho_{n-1}\rho_n$ by b. It is easy to see that b acts on generators $y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, v_{n-1}, v_n, v_{n+1}$ in the following way:

$$b : \begin{cases} y_{n-1} \longrightarrow (y_n^{-1}y_{n+1}^{v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}}y_{n-1}y_{n+1}^{-v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}}y_n)^{v_n^{-1}}, \\ y_n \longrightarrow y_{n+1}^{v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}}, \\ y_{n+1} \longrightarrow y_n^{v_{n+1}v_{n-1}}. \end{cases}$$

$$b : \begin{cases} v_{n-1} \longrightarrow v_{n-1}, \\ v_n \longrightarrow v_{n+1}, \\ v_{n+1} \longrightarrow v_n. \end{cases}$$

Thus relations (15) are equivalent to

$$(y_n^{-1}y_{n+1}^{v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}}y_{n-1}y_{n+1}^{-v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}}y_n)^{v_n^{-1}} = y_{n-1}\beta, \quad y_{n+1}^{v_n^{-1}v_{n-1}^{-1}} = y_n\beta, \quad y_n^{v_{n+1}v_{n-1}} = y_{n+1}\beta,$$

$$v_{n-1} = v_{n-1}\beta, \quad v_{n+1} = v_n\beta, \quad v_n = v_{n+1}\beta.$$

Since $\beta \in VB_n$ it acts on generators y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} trivially. Therefore, the third relation is equivalent to

$$y_n^{v_{n+1}v_{n-1}} = y_{n+1}$$

and the sixth relation is equivalent to

$$v_n = v_{n+1}.$$

Obtained equations are substituted in the first and the second relations and it results in

$$y_{n-1} = y_{n-1}\beta, \quad y_n = y_n\beta,$$

which are the relations in G_1. The generator y_{n+1} and v_{n+1} could be excluded from the generating set of G_2. Therefore, the fact has been proved that the set of relations of G_2 is equivalent to the set of relations of G_1.

The theorem is proved.

We proved that the representation φ_M is equivalent to the representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$. Now we show that the group $G_M(\beta)$ is isomorphic to the group $G_{\widehat{M}}(\beta)$. According to the proved theorem, the group $G_M(\beta)$ is an invariant of the link $\widehat{\beta}$ and this fact leads to the proof of Theorem 3.

Let a group G have the presentation $G = \langle X \,\|\, \mathcal{R}\rangle$, where $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ is the generating set and $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of defining relations. If in the group G another generating set $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$ is chosen, then the group G has the presentation $G = \langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}\rangle$ which connected with the initial presentation by the sequence of Tietze transformations. From now on we assume the generating sets X, Y and their corresponding sets of defining relations are fixed.

If φ is some automorphism of the group G, then a group could be defined using the generating set X

$$G(X, \varphi) = \langle X \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ \varphi(x_i) = x_i,\ i = 1, \ldots, n\rangle.$$

Analogously using the generating set Y and the automorphism φ, a group could be defined

$$G(Y, \varphi) = \langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ \varphi(y_j) = y_j,\ j = 1, \ldots, m\rangle.$$

It is true

Theorem 5. *Groups $G(X, \varphi)$ and $G(Y, \varphi)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let the automorphism φ act on generating sets X and Y in the following way

$$\varphi(x_i) = w_i(X), \quad \varphi(y_j) = u_j(Y),$$

where $w_i(X)$ and $u_j(Y)$ are words in the terms of generators X and Y as well as their inverses consequently for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $j = 1, \ldots, m$. We fix expressions

$$x_i = b_i(Y), \quad y_j = a_j(X)$$

to express generators of X through Y and, visa versa, generators Y through X. To simplify the notation indices i and j are dropped out so there are obtained systems of equations

$$\varphi(X) = W(X), \quad \varphi(Y) = U(Y), \quad X = B(Y), \quad Y = A(X).$$

Since the automorphism φ of the group G that acts on generators of X and Y is the same, the following systems of equations

$$A(W(B(Y))) = U(Y) \Leftrightarrow A(W(X)) = U(A(X)) \Leftrightarrow W(B(Y)) = B(U(Y))$$

are equivalent in the group G.

The proof of the theorem statement is to apply sequentially the Tietze transformations to the presentation of the group $G(X, \varphi)$. Thus we consider the group $G(X, \varphi)$. By definition we have

$$G(X, \varphi) = \langle X \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ X = W(X)\rangle.$$

We add elements Y into the generating set and the equations $Y = A(X)$ into the set of relations

$$G(X, \varphi) = \langle X,\, Y \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ X = W(X),\ Y = A(X)\rangle.$$

Relations $Y = A(X)$ are equivalent to $X = B(Y)$ with the module of relations $\mathcal{R}$. Thus

$$\langle X,\, Y \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ X = W(X),\ Y = A(X)\rangle \simeq \langle X,\, Y \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ X = W(X),\ X = B(Y)\rangle.$$

Excluding generators X and relations $X = B(Y)$ we obtain

$$\langle X,\, Y \,\|\, \mathcal{R},\ X = W(X),\ X = B(Y)\rangle \simeq \langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ B(Y) = W(B(Y))\rangle.$$

Since $W(B(Y)) = B(U(Y))$ we have

$$\langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ B(Y) = W(B(Y))\rangle \simeq \langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ B(Y) = B(U(Y))\rangle.$$

Taking into account that in the group G the equations $A(B(Y)) = Y$ and $B(A(X)) = X$ hold, it could be seen that systems of relations $B(Y) = B(Z)$ and $Y = Z$ are equivalent in the group G (i. e. they are equivalent with the module of relations $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$). Consequently

$$\langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ B(Y) = B(U(Y))\rangle \simeq \langle Y \,\|\, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}},\ Y = U(Y)\rangle = G(Y, \varphi).$$

Therefore,

$$G(X, \varphi) \simeq G(Y, \varphi).$$

The theorem is proved.

As a corollary the fact is obtained that groups $G_M(L)$ and $G_{\widetilde{M}}(L)$ are isomorphic. Consequently Theorem 3 is proved.

§ 7. Properties of link groups

Directly from the definition of the group G_M it could be derived

Proposition 6. *If L is d-component link which is presented by a closure of a n-strand braid, then in the group $G_M(L)$ there are d different generators among $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$. In particular, provided L a knot, $u_1 = u_2 = \ldots = u_n$.*

As shown earlier the representation $\widetilde{\varphi}_M$ is an extension of the Artin representation. As a corollary one can prove

Proposition 7. *Given a classical d-component link L, there is the following isomorphism of groups*

$$G_{\widetilde{M}}(L) = G(L) * \mathbb{Z}^d, \text{ where } G(L) = \pi_1(\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus L).$$



Figure 7: Virtual trefoil

Example. Given T_v the virtual trefoil (see Fig. 7), the generalized Alexander group is generated by elements x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, u, v and it has in the first crossing the relations

$$x_1 x_2^u = x_3 x_4^u, \quad x_2 = x_3^v,$$

and in the second crossing the relations

$$x_3 x_4^u = x_2 x_1^u, \quad x_4 = x_2^v.$$

Excluding generators x_3 and x_4, we obtain the presentation

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle x_1, x_2, u, v \mid\mid [u,v] = 1, \quad x_1 x_2^u = x_2^{v^{-1}} x_2^{vu}, \quad x_2^{v^{-1}} x_2^{vu} = x_2 x_1^u \rangle.$$

Excluding the generator x_1, we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle x_2, u, v \mid\mid [u,v] = 1, \quad x_2 x_2^{v^{-1}u} x_2^{vu^2} = x_2^{v^{-1}} x_2^{vu} x_2^{u^2} \rangle.$$

If a generator x_2 replaced by a new one b which equals to $x_2 u^{-1}$, then the presentation is of the form

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle b, u, v \mid\mid [u,v] = 1, \quad b(b^{v^{-1}} b^v) = (b^{v^{-1}} b^v) b \rangle = \langle b, u, v \mid\mid [u,v] = 1, \quad [b^{v^{-1}} b^v, b] = 1 \rangle.$$

On the other hand, the virtual trefoil could be presented as a classical knot in the thickened torus. The fundamental group of the knot complement in the thickened torus was found in [7] and it has the following presentation

$$G = \langle a, x, y \mid\mid [x,y] = 1, \quad (a^x a^y) a^{xy} = a (a^x a^y) \rangle.$$

A natural question arises about the isomorphism of groups $\widetilde{A}_{T_v}$ and G. The answer on this question is given by

Proposition 8. *Groups $\widetilde{A}_{T_v}$ and G are not isomorphic.*

Proof. For brevity we denote $H = \widetilde{A}_{T_v}$. We show that the factor-groups $H/\gamma_3 H$ and $G/\gamma_3 G$ of these groups by the third term of lower central series are not isomorphic to each other. We obtain

$$bb^{v^{-1}}b^v = b^2[b, v^{-1}]b[b, v] \equiv b^3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\gamma_3 H),$$

$$b^{v^{-1}}b^v b = b[b, v^{-1}]b[b, v]b \equiv b^3 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\gamma_3 H).$$

Hence

$$H/\gamma_3 H = \langle b, u, v \mid\mid [u, v] = 1, \quad \gamma_3 H = 1\rangle.$$

In particular, $\gamma_2 H/\gamma_3 H \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ (it is generated by images of the commutators $[b, u]$, $[b, v]$).

On the other hand

$$a^x a^y a^{xy} = a[a, x]a[a, y]a[a, xy] \equiv a^3[a, xy]^2 \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\gamma_3 G),$$

$$aa^x a^y = a^2[a, x]a[a, y] \equiv a^3[a, xy] \,(\mathrm{mod}\,\gamma_3 G).$$

Therefore,

$$G/\gamma_3 G = \langle a, x, y \mid\mid [x, y] = 1, \quad [a, xy] = 1, \quad \gamma_3 G = 1\rangle.$$

In particular, $\gamma_2 G/\gamma_3 G \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (it is generated by images of the commutator $[a, x]$).

As factor-groups $\gamma_2 H/\gamma_3 H$ and $\gamma_2 G/\gamma_3 G$ are not isomorphic so the correspondent groups H and G are not isomorphic. The proposition is proved.

We show that the group $\widetilde{A}_{T_v}$ is a HNN-extension of the one-relator group.

Proposition 9. *The group*

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle b, u, v \mid\mid [u, v] = 1, \quad bb^{v^{-1}}b^v = b^{v^{-1}}b^v b\rangle$$

is a HNN-extension.

Proof. Using Tietze transformations for the presentation of $\widetilde{A}_{T_v}$, we obtain

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle b, u, v \mid\mid [u, v] = 1, \quad bb^{v^{-1}}b^v = b^{v^{-1}}b^v b\rangle =$$

$$= \langle b, u, v \mid\mid u^v = u, \quad [b^{v^{-1}}b^v, b] = 1\rangle =$$

$$= \langle b, u, v, z, t \mid\mid u^v = u, \quad z = b^{v^{-1}}, \quad t = b^v, \quad [zt, b] = 1\rangle =$$

$$= \langle b, u, v, z, t \mid\mid u^v = u, \quad z^v = b, \quad b^v = t, \quad [zt, b] = 1\rangle.$$

We consider the group

$$G = \langle b, u, z, t \mid\mid [zt, b] = 1\rangle$$

and its subgroups

$$A = \langle b, u, z\rangle, \quad B = \langle b, u, t\rangle.$$

It is sufficient to show that the mapping

$$u \mapsto u, \quad z \mapsto b, \quad b \mapsto t$$

is an isomorphism of the subgroup A onto the subgroup B. For that purpose it is sufficient to show that A and B are free subgroups of the rank 3 with free generators u, b, z and u, b, t respectively. Taking into account that

$$G = \langle b, u, z, t \mid\mid [zt, b] = 1 \rangle = \langle u \rangle * \widetilde{G},$$

where

$$\widetilde{G} = \langle b, z, t \mid\mid [zt, b] = 1 \rangle,$$

it is sufficient to show that subgroups

$$\widetilde{A} = \langle b, z \rangle, \quad \widetilde{B} = \langle b, t \rangle$$

are free groups of the rank 2 in the group $\widetilde{G}$. We consider the homomorphic image of the group $\widetilde{G}$ which is obtained by adding relations $t = z^{-1}$:

$$\langle b, z, t \mid\mid [zt, b] = 1, \quad t = z^{-1} \rangle.$$

Excluding the generator t, the free group $\langle b, z \rangle$ is obtained which is covered by the image of the subgroup $\widetilde{A}$. And excluding the generator z, the free group $\langle t, z \rangle$ is obtained which is covered by the image of the subgroup $\widetilde{B}$. Therefore, $\widetilde{A}$ and $\widetilde{B}$ are free subgroups of the rank 2 with free generators b, z and b, t respectively. It leads to the fact that A and B are free subgroups of the rank 3 with free generators u, b, z and u, b, t respectively.

Hence

$$\widetilde{A}_{T_v} = \langle b, u, v, z, t \mid\mid u^v = u, \quad z^v = b, \quad b^v = t, \quad [zt, b] = 1 \rangle$$

is a HNN-extension of the group

$$G = \langle b, u, z, t \mid\mid [zt, b] = 1 \rangle$$

with the stable letter v and associated subgroups

$$A = \langle b, u, z \rangle, \quad B = \langle b, u, t \rangle.$$

The proposition is proved.

References

[1] V. G. Bardakov, *The virtual and universal braids*, *Fund. Math.*, **181** (2004), 1–18.

[2] V. G. Bardakov, *The structure of the group of conjugating automorphisms*, *Algebra i Logik*, **42**, no. 5 (2003), 515–541.

[3] V. G. Bardakov, *Virtual and welded links and their invariants*. Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv., **2** (2005), 196–199 (electronic).

[4] V. G. Bardakov, P. Bellingeri, *Groups of virtual and welded links*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, **23**, no. 3 (2014), 1450014, 23 pp.

[5] J. S. Birman, *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, *Annals of Math. Studies* **82**, Princeton University Press, 1974.

[6] H. U. Boden, E. Dies, A. I. Gaudreau, A. Gerlings, E. Harper, A. J. Nicas, *Alexander invariants for virtual knots. J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, **24**, no. 3 (2015), 1550009, 62 pp.

[7] J. S. Carter, D. Silver, S. Williams, *Invariants of links in thickened surfaces*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, **14**, no. 3 (2014), 1377-1394.

[8] O. Chterental, *Virtual braids and virtual curve diagrams*, *arXiv 1411.63 13v14 [math.QA] 2 Jun 2015*, 25 pp.

[9] R. Fenn, R. Rimanyi, C. Rourke, *The braid-permutation group*, *Topology*, **36**, no. 1 (1997), 123–135.

[10] S. Kamada, *Invariants of virtual braids and a remark on left stabilisations and virtual exchange moves*, *Kobe J. Math.*, **21**, (2004), 33-49.

[11] L. H. Kauffman, *Virtual knot theory*, *Eur. J. Comb.*, **20**, no. 7 (1999), 663–690.

[12] L. H. Kauffman, S. Lambropoulou, *The L-Move and Virtual Braids*, *JKTR*, **15**, no. 6 (2006), 773–811.

[13] S. Krstic, J. McCool, *The non-finite presentability of $IA(F_3)$ and $GL_2(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$*, *Invent. math.*, **129** (1997), pp. 595–606.

[14] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977.

[15] W. Magnus, A. Karrass and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1996.

[16] V. O. Manturov, *On the recognition of virtual braids*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S. Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) 299 (Geom. i Topol. 8) (2003) 267–286, 331–332 (in Russian); translation in J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) 131 (2005) 5409–5419.

[17] A. A. Markov, *Foundations of the algebraic theory of braids*, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov [Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.]*, **16** (1945), 1–54.

[18] J. McCool, *On basis–conjugating automorphisms of free groups*, *Can. J. Math.*, **38**, no. 6 (1986), 1525–1529.

[19] A. G. Savushkina, *On a group of conjugating automorphisms of a free group.* (Russian) *Mat. Zametki*, **60**, no. 1 (1996), 92–108; translation in *Math. Notes*, **60**, no. 1-2 (1997), 68–80.

[20] A. G. Savushkina, *Basis–conjugating automorphisms of a free group. Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull.*, **51**, no. 4 (1996), 14–17; translation from *Vestn. Mosk. Univ.*, Ser. **I**, no. 4 (1996), 17–21.

[21] D. Silver, S. G. Williams, *Alexander groups and virtual links*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, **10**, no. 1 (2001), 151–160.

[22] D. Silver, S. G. Williams, *Alexander groups of long virtual knots*, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications*, **15**, no. 1 (2006), 43–52.

[23] V. V. Vershinin, *On homology of virtual braids and Burau representation*, *J. Knot Theory Raminifications*, **10**, no. 5 (2001), 795–812.